

www.thewestminsterschool.co.uk

2023/2024

Non-examination Assessment

Building foundations and providing opportunities to create confident, aspirational, and independent members of our community.

Approved by Governing Body on:	05/07/2024
Signed by Chair of Governors:	P. A. Evans.
Head Teacher:	O M Flowers
Lead Personnel:	L Goodby
Date of Review:	01/07/2024

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	O Flowers
Quality assurance lead/Lead internal verifier	B Taylor
Senior leader(s)	B Taylor, O Flowers, J Flowers, C Jones, N Brenton
ALS lead/SENCo	G Webb
Exams officer	L Goodby

Contents

Key staff involved in the policy	Error! Bookmark not defined.
What does this policy affect?	4
Purpose of the policy	4
What are non-examination assessments?	4
Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessmer responsibilities	
The basic principles	4
Task setting	5
Issuing of tasks	6
Task taking	6
Supervision	6
Advice and feedback	6
Resources	6
Word and time limits	7
Collaboration and group work	7
Authentication procedures	7
Presentation of work	8
Keeping materials secure	8
Task marking – externally assessed components	9
Conduct of externally assessed work	9
Task marking – internally assessed components	9
Marking and annotation	9
Internal standardisation	10
Consortium arrangements	10
Submission of marks and work for moderation	11
Storage and retention of work after submission of marks	11
External moderation – the process	12
External moderation – feedback	12
Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments	12
Special consideration and loss of work	13
Malpractice	13
Post-results services	14
Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences design	ned for use in E <mark>ngland 14</mark>
Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Languaguse in England	
Private candidates	16
Qualification/Subject specific additional information	Error! Bookmark not defined.
[Insert qualification type & subject]	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-exam	nination assessments17

What does this policy affect?

This policy affects the delivery of subjects of GCE and GCSE qualifications which contain a component(s) of non-examination assessment.

The regulators' definition of an examination is very narrow. In effect, any type of assessment that is not:

- set by an awarding body
- designed to be taken simultaneously by all relevant candidates at a time determined by the awarding body, and
- taken under conditions specified by the awarding body (including conditions relating to the supervision of candidates during the assessment and the duration of the assessment)

is classified as non-examination assessment (NEA).

'NEA' therefore includes, but is not limited to, internal assessment. Externally marked and/or externally set practical examinations taken at different times across centres are classified as 'NEA'. (JCQ's Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments, Foreword)

This publication is further referred to in this policy as NEA

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy, as defined by JCQ, is to:

- cover procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments
- define staff roles and responsibilities for non-examination assessments
- manage risks associated with non-examination assessments

The policy will need to cover all types of non-examination assessment. (NEA, section 1)

What are non-examination assessments?

Non-examination assessments measure subject-specific knowledge and skills that cannot be tested by timed written papers.

There are three assessment stages and rules which apply to each stage. These rules often vary across subjects. The stages are:

- task setting;
- task taking;
- task marking. (NEA, section 1)

Procedures for planning and managing non-examination assessments identifying staff roles and responsibilities

The basic principles

Head of centre

- Returns a declaration (managed as part of the National Centre Number Register annual update) to confirm awareness of, and that relevant centre staff are adhering to, the latest version of NEA
- Ensures the centre's Non-examination Assessment Policy is fit for purpose and covers all types of non-examination assessment

 Ensures the centre's Internal Appeals Procedure clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks) and requesting a review of the centre's marking

Senior leaders

- Ensure the correct conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements) which comply with <u>NEA</u> and awarding body subject-specific instructions
- Ensure the centre-wide calendar records assessment schedules by the start of the academic year

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Confirms with subject heads that appropriate awarding body forms and templates for non-examination assessments (including endorsements) are used by teachers and candidates
- Ensures appropriate procedures are in place to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers in line with awarding body criteria
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information given to candidates by subject teachers
- Ensures appropriate centre-devised templates are provided to capture/record relevant information is received and understood by candidates
- Where not provided by the awarding body, ensures a centre-devised template is provided for candidates to keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

Subject head/lead

- Ensures subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities within the nonexamination assessment process
- Ensures <u>NEA</u> and relevant awarding body subject specific instructions are followed in relation to the conduct of non-examination assessments (including endorsements)
- Works with the QA lead/Lead internal verifier to ensure appropriate procedures are followed to internally standardise/verify the marks awarded by subject teachers

Subject teacher

- Understands and complies with the general instructions as detailed in NEA
- Where these may also be provided by the awarding body, understands and complies
 with the awarding body's specification for conducting non-examination assessments,
 including any subject-specific instructions, teachers' notes or additional information
 on the awarding body's website
- Marks internally assessed work to the criteria provided by the awarding body
- Ensures the exams officer is provided with relevant entry codes for subjects (whether
 the entry for the internally assessed component forms part of the overall entry code
 for the qualification or is made as a separate unit entry code) to the internal deadline
 for entries

Exams officer

- Signposts the annually updated JCQ NEA publication to relevant centre staff
- Carries out tasks where these may be applicable to the role in supporting the administration/management of non-examination assessment

Task setting

Subject teacher

Selects tasks to be undertaken where a number of comparable tasks are provided by the awarding body OR designs tasks where this is permitted by criteria set out within the subject specification

Makes candidates aware of the criteria used to assess their work

Issuing of tasks

Subject teacher

- Determines when set tasks are issued by the awarding body
- Identifies date(s) when tasks should be taken by candidates
- Accesses set tasks in sufficient time to allow planning, resourcing and teaching and ensures that materials are stored securely at all times
- Ensures the correct task is issued to candidates

Task taking

1. Supervision

Subject teacher

- Checks the awarding body's subject-specific requirements ensuring candidates take tasks under the required conditions and supervision arrangements
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to enable the work of a candidate to be authenticated
- Ensures there is sufficient supervision to ensure the work a candidate submits is their
- Is confident where work may be completed outside of the centre without direct supervision, that the work produced is the candidate's own
- Where candidates may work in groups, keeps a record of each candidate's contribution and it must be possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures candidates are aware of the current JCQ documents <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u> and <u>Information for candidates Social Media</u>
- Ensures candidates understand and comply with the regulations in relevant JCQ Information for candidates' documents
- Ensures candidates:
 - understand that information from all sources must be referenced
 - o receive guidance on setting out references
 - o are aware that they must not plagiarise other material

2. Advice and feedback

Subject teacher

- As relevant to the subject/component, advises candidates on relevant aspects before candidates begin working on a task
- Will not provide candidates with model answers or writing frames specific to the task
- When reviewing candidates' work, unless prohibited by the specification, provides oral and written advice at a general level to candidates
- Allows candidates to revise and re-draft work after advice has been given at a general level
- Records any assistance given beyond general advice and takes it into account in the marking or submits it to the external examiner
- Ensures when work has been assessed, candidates are not allowed to revise it

3. Resources

Subject teacher

- Refers to the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation to determine if candidates have restricted/unrestricted access to resources including the internet and AI when planning and researching their tasks
- Refers to the JCQ document AI Use in Assessments: Protecting the Integrity of Qualifications (http://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice) as well as the awarding body's specification and/or associated documentation published by the awarding bodies and the regulator
 - By referencing this document, makes candidates aware of the appropriate and inappropriate use of AI, the risks of using AI, and the possible consequences of using AI inappropriately in a qualification assessment
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are known and put in place
- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to keep the work to be assessed, and any preparatory work, secure between any formally supervised sessions, including work that is stored electronically
- Ensures conditions for any formally supervised sessions are understood and followed by candidates
- Ensures candidates understand that they are not allowed to introduce augmented notes or new resources between formally supervised sessions
- Ensures that where appropriate to include references, candidates keep a detailed record of their own research, planning, resources etc.

4. Word and time limits

Subject teacher

 Refers to the awarding body's specification to determine where word and time limits apply/are mandatory

5. Collaboration and group work

Subject teacher

- Unless stated otherwise in the awarding body's specification, and where appropriate, allows candidates to collaborate when carrying out research and preparatory work
- Ensures that it is possible to attribute assessable outcomes to individual candidates
- Ensures that where an assignment requires written work to be produced, each candidate writes up their own account of the assignment
- Assesses the work of each candidate individually

6. Authentication procedures

Subject teacher

- Where required by the awarding body's specification:
 - ensures candidates sign a declaration confirming the work they submit for final assessment is their own unaided work
 - signs the teacher declaration of authentication confirming the requirements have been met
- Keeps signed candidate declarations on file until the deadline for requesting reviews
 of results has passed or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has
 been completed, whichever is later
- Provides signed candidate declarations where these may be requested by a JCQ Centre Inspector (Electronic signatures are acceptable)
- Where there may be doubt about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or if
 malpractice is suspected, follows the authentication procedures and malpractice
 information in NEA and informs a member of the senior leadership team
- Understands that if, during the external moderation process, it is found that the work
 has not been properly authenticated, the awarding body will set the mark(s) awarded
 by the centre to zero

7. Presentation of work

Subject teacher

- Obtains informed consent at the beginning of the course from parents/carers if videos or photographs/images of candidates will be included as evidence of participation or contribution
- Instructs candidates to present work as detailed in <u>NEA</u> unless the awarding body's specification gives different subject-specific instructions
- Instructs candidates to add their candidate number, centre number and the component code of the assessment as a header/footer on each page of their work
- Ensures if candidates' work is to be submitted electronically, that it meets the awarding body's specified requirements

8. Keeping materials secure

Subject teacher

- When work is being undertaken by candidates under formal supervision, ensures work is securely stored between sessions (if more than one session)
- When work is submitted by candidates for final assessment, ensures work is securely stored
- Follows secure storage instructions as defined in NEA 4.8
- Takes sensible precautions when work is taken home for marking
- Stores internally assessed work, including the sample returned after awarding body moderation, securely until all possible post-results services have been exhausted
- If post-results services have not been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) after the deadline for requesting a review of results for the relevant series
- If post-results services have been requested, returns internally assessed work to candidates (if requested by a candidate) once the review of results and any subsequent appeal has been completed
- Reminds candidates of the need to keep their own work secure at all times and not share completed or partially completed work on-line on social media or through any other means (Reminds candidates of the contents of the JCQ document *Information* for candidates – Social Media)
- Where work is stored electronically, liaises with the IT Manager to ensure the
 protection and back-up of candidates' work and that appropriate arrangements are in
 place to restrict access to it between sessions
- Understands that during the period from the submission of work for formal assessment until the deadline for requesting a review of results, copies of work may be used for other purposes, provided that the originals are stored securely as required

IT Manager

- Ensures appropriate arrangements are in place to restrict access between sessions to candidates' work where work is stored electronically
- Restricts access to this material and utilises appropriate security safeguards such as firewall protection and virus scanning software
- Employs an effective back-up strategy so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained
- Considers encrypting any sensitive digital media to ensure the security of the data stored within it and refers to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable

Task marking – externally assessed components

9. Conduct of externally assessed work

Subject teacher

- Liaises with the exams officer regarding the arrangements for any externally
 assessed components of a specification which must be conducted within a window of
 dates specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ
 Instructions for conducting examinations
- Liaises with the Visiting Examiner where this may be applicable to any externally assessed component

Exams officer

- Arranges timetabling, rooming and invigilation where and if this is applicable to any
 externally assessed non-examination component of a specification
- Conducts the externally assessed component within the window specified by the awarding body and where applicable, according to JCQ *Instructions for conducting* examinations

Submission of work

Subject teacher

Pays close attention to the completion of the attendance register, if applicable

Exams officer

- Provides the attendance register to the subject teacher where applicable
- Ensures the awarding body's attendance register for any externally assessed component is completed correctly
- Where candidates' work must be despatched to an awarding body's examiner or uploaded electronically, ensures this is completed by the date specified by the awarding body
- Keeps a copy of the attendance register until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series
- Packages the work as required by the awarding body and attaches the examiner address label
- Ensures that the package in which the work is despatched is robust and securely fastened
- Despatches the work to the awarding body's instructions by the required deadline

Task marking – internally assessed components

10. Marking and annotation

Head of centre

- Makes every effort to avoid situations where a candidate is assessed by a person
 who has a close personal relationship with the candidate, for example, members of
 their family (which includes step-family, foster family and similar close relationships)
 or close friends and their immediate family (e.g son/daughter)
- Where this cannot be avoided, ensures the possible conflict of interest is declared to the relevant awarding body and the marked work is submitted for moderation whether or not it is part of the moderation sample

Subject head/lead

Sets timescales for teachers to inform candidates of their centre-assessed marks that
will allow sufficient time for a candidate to appeal an internal assessment
decision/request a review of the centre's marking prior to the marks being submitted
to the awarding body external deadline

Subject teacher

- Accesses awarding body training/updates as required to ensure familiarity with the mark scheme/marking process
- Marks candidates' work in accordance with the marking criteria provided by the awarding body
- Annotates candidates' work as required to facilitate internal standardisation of marking and enable external moderation to check that marking is in line with the assessment criteria
- Informs candidates of their marks which could be subject to change by the awarding body moderation process
- Ensures candidates are informed of the timescale set by the subject lead or as indicated in the centre's internal appeals procedure to enable an internal appeal/request for a review of marking to be submitted by a candidate and the outcome known before final marks are submitted to the awarding body

11. Internal standardisation

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

- Ensures that internal standardisation of marks across assessors and teaching groups takes place as required and to sequence
- Supports staff not familiar with the mark scheme (e.g. ECTs, supply staff etc.)
- Ensures accurate internal standardisation for example by:
 - o obtaining reference materials at an early stage in the course
 - holding a preliminary trial marking session prior to marking
 - carrying out further trial marking at appropriate points during the marking period
 - after most marking has been completed, holds a further meeting to make final adjustments
 - making final adjustments to marks prior to submission, retaining work and evidence of standardisation
- Retains evidence that internal standardisation has been carried out

Subject teacher

- Indicates on work (or cover sheet) the date of marking
- Marks to common standards
- Keeps candidates work secure until after the closing date for review of results for the series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

12. Consortium arrangements

Subject head/lead

- Ensures a consortium co-ordinator is nominated (where this may be required as the consortium lead)
- If the consortium lead, liaises with the exams officer to ensure the relevant awarding body is informed that the centre is part of a consortium by submitting Form JCQ/CCA Centre consortium arrangements for centre-assessed work for each exam series affected
- Ensures procedures for internal standardisation as a consortium are followed

Subject teacher

- Provides marks to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Retains all candidates' work in the consortium until after the deadline for reviews of results for the exam series concerned or until any appeal, malpractice or other results enquiry has been completed, whichever is later

Exams officer

- Where the centre is the consortium lead:
 - submits an online notification of Centre consortium arrangements for centreassessed work to the relevant awarding body through the Centre Admin Portal (CAP) by no later than the published deadline for each exam series affected
 - submits marks for home centre candidates to the awarding body deadline
 - where relevant, liaises with the other exams officers in the consortium to arrange despatch of a single moderation sample to the awarding body deadline

13. Submission of marks and work for moderation

Subject teacher

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping
 a record of the marks awarded, to the external deadline/Provides marks to the exams
 officer to the internal deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the awarding body moderator by the external deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Provides the moderation sample to the exams officer to the internal deadline
- Ensures that where a candidate's work has been facilitated by a scribe or practical
 assistant, the relevant completed cover sheet is securely attached to the front of the
 work and sent to the moderator in addition to the sample requested
- Ensures the moderator is provided with authentication of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body/Provides the exams officer with any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

Exams officer

- Inputs and submits marks online, via the awarding body secure extranet site, keeping
 a record of the marks submitted, to the external deadline/Confirms with subject
 teachers that marks have been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Where responsible for marks input, ensures checks are made that marks for any additional candidates are submitted and ensures mark input is checked before submission to avoid transcription errors
- Submits the requested samples of candidates' work to the moderator by the awarding body deadline, keeping a record of the work submitted/Confirms with Subject teacher that the moderation sample has been submitted to the awarding body deadline
- Ensures that for postal moderation:
 - work is dispatched in packaging provided by the awarding body
 - moderator label(s) provided by the awarding body are affixed to the packaging
 - proof of dispatch is obtained and kept on file until the successful issue of final
- Through the subject teacher, ensures the moderator is provided with authentication
 of candidates' work, confirmation that internal standardisation has been undertaken
 and any other subject-specific information where this may be required
- Through the subject teacher, submits any supporting documentation required by the awarding body

14. Storage and retention of work after submission of marks

Subject teacher

- Keeps a record of names and candidate numbers for candidates whose work was included in the moderation sample
- Retains all marked candidates' work (including any sample returned after moderation) under secure conditions for the required retention period
- In liaison with the IT Manager, takes steps to protect any work stored electronically from corruption and has a back-up procedure in place
- If retention is a problem because of the nature of the work, retains some form of evidence such as photos, audio or media recordings

Exams officer

• Ensures any sample returned after moderation is logged and returned to the subject teacher for secure storage and required retention

15. External moderation – the process

Subject teacher

- Ensures that awarding body or its moderator receive the correct samples of candidates' work
- Where relevant, liaises with the awarding body/moderator where the moderator visits the centre to mark the sample of work
- Complies with any request from the moderator for remaining work or further evidence of the centre's marking

16. External moderation – feedback

Subject head/lead

- Checks the final moderated marks when issued to the centre when the results are published
- Checks moderator reports and ensures that any remedial action, if necessary, is undertaken before the next exam series

Exams officer

- Accesses or signposts moderator reports to relevant staff
- Takes remedial action, if necessary, where feedback may relate to centre administration

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

Subject teacher

 Works with the ALS lead/SENCo to ensure any access arrangements for eligible candidates are applied to assessments

Additional Learning Support (ALS lead)/Special educational needs coordinator (SENCo)

- Follows the regulations and guidance in the JCQ publication <u>Access Arrangements</u> and <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u> in relation to non-examination assessments including <u>Reasonable Adjustments</u> for GCE A-level sciences – <u>Endorsement of practical skills</u>
- Where arrangements do not undermine the integrity of the qualification and is the candidate's normal way of working, will ensure access arrangements are in place and awarding body approval, where required, has been obtained prior to assessments taking place
- Makes subject teachers aware of any access arrangements for eligible candidates which need to be applied to assessments
- Works with subject teachers to ensure requirements for access arrangement candidates requiring the support of a facilitator in assessments are met
- Ensures that staff acting as an access arrangement facilitator are fully trained in their role

Special consideration and loss of work

Subject teacher

- Understands that a candidate may be eligible for special consideration in assessments in certain situations where a candidate is absent and/or produces a reduced quantity of work
- Liaises with the exams officer when special consideration may need to be applied for a candidate taking assessments
- Liaises with the exams officer to report loss of work to the awarding body

Exams officer

- Refers to/directs relevant staff to the JCQ publication <u>A guide to the special</u> consideration process
 - Where a candidate is eligible, submits an application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site to the prescribed timescale
 - Where application for special consideration via the awarding body's secure extranet site is not applicable, submits the required form to the awarding body to the prescribed timescale
 - Keeps required evidence on file to support the application
- Refers to/directs relevant staff where applicable to <u>Form 15 JCQ/LCW</u> and where applicable submits to the relevant awarding body

Malpractice

Head of centre

- Understands the responsibility to immediately report to the relevant awarding body any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates-or centre staff
- Ensures any irregularity identified by the centre before the candidate has signed the
 authentication statement (where required) are dealt with under its own internal
 procedures, with no requirement to report the irregularity to the awarding body (The
 only exception being where the awarding body's confidential assessment materials
 has been breached, the breach must be report to the awarding body)
- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u>
- Ensures that those members of teaching staff involved in the direct supervision of candidates producing non-examination assessment are aware of the potential for malpractice and ensures that teaching staff are reminded that failure to report allegations of malpractice or suspected malpractice constitutes malpractice in itself

Subject teacher

- Is aware of the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to mitigate against candidate and centre malpractice
- Ensures candidates understand what constitutes malpractice in non-examination assessments
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates non-examination assessments</u>
- Ensures candidates understand the JCQ document <u>Information for candidates</u> -Social Media
- Escalates and reports any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice involving candidates to the head of centre

Exams officer

- Signposts the JCQ publication <u>Suspected Malpractice</u>: <u>Policies and Procedures</u> to the head of centre
- Signposts the JCQ <u>Notice to Centres Sharing NEA material and candidates' work</u> to subject heads

- Signposts candidates to the relevant JCQ information for candidates' documents
- Where required, supports the head of centre in investigating and reporting incidents of alleged, suspected or actual malpractice

Post-results services

Head of centre

- Is familiar with the JCQ publication <u>Post-Results Services</u>
- Ensures the centre's *internal appeals procedure* clearly details the procedure to be followed by candidates (or their parents/carers) appealing against a centre decision not to support an application for a review of results or an appeal

Subject head/lead

 Provides relevant support to subject teachers making decisions about reviews of results

Subject teacher

- Provides advice and guidance to candidates on their results and the post-results services available
- Provides the exams officer with the original sample or relevant sample of candidates' work that may be required for a review of moderation to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Is aware of the individual post-results services available for externally assessed and internally assessed components of non-examination assessments as detailed in the JCQ publication Post-Results Services (Information and guidance to centres...)
- Provides/signposts relevant centre staff and candidates to post-results services information
- Ensures any requests for post-results services that are available to non-examination assessments are submitted online via the awarding body secure extranet site to deadline

Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England

Head of centre

- Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the prescribed practical activities
- Ensures new lead teachers undertake the required training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement
- Ensures relevant centre staff liaise with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of the monitoring visit

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

 Ensures arrangements are in place for implementing the requirements of the practical endorsement appropriately and applying the standards appropriately

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Practical Skills Endorsement for the A Level Sciences designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures where the centre intends to enter candidates for the first time for one or more of the A level subjects, the relevant awarding body will be contacted at the beginning of the course
- Undertakes any training provided by the awarding body on the implementation of the practical endorsement

- Disseminates information to subject teachers ensuring the standards can be applied appropriately
- Liaises with all relevant parties in relation to arrangements for and conduct of a monitoring visit

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the JCQ/awarding body requirements/instructions in relation to the endorsement are known, understood and followed
- Ensures the required arrangements for practical activities are in place
- Provides all the required centre records
- Ensures candidates provide the required records
- Provides any required information to the subject lead regarding the monitoring visit
- Assesses candidates using Common Practical Assessment Criteria (CPAC)
- Applies for an exemption where a candidate cannot access the practical endorsement due to a substantial impairment
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome/provides assessment outcomes to the exams officer to the internal deadline

Exams officer

- Accepts contact with the monitor and passes information to the subject lead for a visit to be arranged with at least two weeks notice
- Confirms with the subject teacher that assessment outcomes have been submitted to the awarding body to the external deadline/Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of candidates Pass or Not Classified assessment outcome

Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England

Head of centre

 Returns an online 'Head of Centre declaration' at the time of the National Centre Number Register annual update, confirming that all reasonable steps have been or will be taken to ensure that all candidates at the centre have had, or will have, the opportunity to undertake the Spoken Language endorsement

Quality assurance (QA) lead/Lead internal verifier

 Ensures the appropriate arrangements are in place for internal standardisation of assessments

Subject head/lead

- Confirms understanding of the Spoken Language Endorsement for GCSE English Language specifications designed for use in England and ensures any relevant JCQ/awarding body instructions are followed
- Ensures the required task setting and task taking instructions are followed by subject teachers
- Ensures subject teachers assess candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria
- Ensures for monitoring purposes, audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates are provided

Subject teacher

- Ensures all the requirements in relation to the endorsement are known and understood
- Follows the required task setting and task taking instructions
- Assesses candidates, either live or from recordings, using the common assessment criteria

- Provides audio-visual recordings of the presentations of a sample of candidates for monitoring purposes
- Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades (*Pass, Merit, Distinction* or *Not Classified*) and the storage and submission of recordings

Exams officer

• Follows the awarding body's instructions for the submission of grades and recordings

Private candidates

Subject head/lead

- According to centre policy, confirms if private candidates (including distance learners and home educated candidates) are accepted by the centre for entry for subjects containing components of non-examination assessment (where the specification may be made available to private candidates by the awarding body)
- Ensures relevant staff in the centre administer all aspects of the non-examination assessment process for a private candidate, according to the awarding body's specification

Management of issues and potential risks associated with non-examination assessments

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk
Centre staff malpractice Candidate malpractice	Records confirm that relevant centre staff are familiar with and follow: • the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments • the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments Records confirm that candidates are informed and understand they must not:
	 submit work which is not their own make available their work to other candidates through any medium allow other candidates to have access to their own independently sourced material assist other candidates to produce work use books, the internet, Al or other sources without acknowledgement or attribution submit work that has been word processed by a third party without acknowledgement
	 include inappropriate, offensive or obscene material Records confirm that candidates have been made aware of the JCQ documents Information for candidates - non-examination assessments and Information for candidates - Social Media - www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/information-for-candidates-documents and understand they must not post their work on social media
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding body online	Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task noted prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details
Centre set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the centre set task A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria See centre's Exam Contingency Plan
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time The wrong task is given to candidates	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk
	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See centre's Exam Contingency Plan (Teaching staff extended absence
A candidate (or parent/carer) expresses concern about safeguarding, confidentiality or faith in undertaking a task such as a presentation that may be recorded	Ensures the candidate's presentation does not form part of the sample which will be recorded Contacts the awarding body at the earliest opportunity where unable to record the required number of candidates for the monitoring sample
Planned assessments clash with other centre or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in centre wide calendar
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate	Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time
supervision Insufficient supervision	(exam conditions do not apply) Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication
of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the centre's non-examination assessment policy
A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during
	Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates duri the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk
	Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage
A third party claims	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are
that assistance was	interviewed and statements recorded where relevant
given to candidates by	Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given
the subject teacher	Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the
over and above that	awarding body
allowed in the	
regulations and	
specification	
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work
reference information	is submitted for formal assessment
from published source	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc.
	is regularly checked to ensure continued completion
Candidate does not	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of
set out references as	references before work is submitted for formal assessment
required	Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates:
	non-examination assessments
	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc.
	is regularly checked to ensure continued completion
Candidate joins the	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up
course late after	
formally supervised	
task taking has started	
Candidate moves to	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending
another centre during	on the stage at which the move takes place
the course	
An excluded pupil	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education
wants to complete a non-examination	If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made
assessment(s)	separately for the candidate
A candidate augments	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in and kept
notes and resources	secure between formally supervised sessions
between formally	Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected in and kept
supervised sessions	secure between formally supervised sessions
·	Where work is stored on the centre's network, access for candidates is
	restricted between formally supervised sessions
A candidate fails to	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc.
acknowledge sources	is checked to confirm all the sources used, including books, websit <mark>es and</mark>
on work that is	audio/visual resources
submitted for	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate
assessment	should be marked where candidate's detailed records acknowledges sources
	appropriately
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records, awarding body
	guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate
A candidate is	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been checked to
penalised by the	determine if word or time limits are mandatory
awarding body for	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged from
exceeding word or	exceeding them
	Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on word or time
time limits	Canadates committeed any information provided to them on word or time
time limits	limits is known and understood
Candidates have	

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk
where the awarding body specification states this is not permitted	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved
A teacher has doubts about the authenticity of the work submitted by a candidate for internal assessment Candidate plagiarises other material	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ document Notice to Centres - Sharing NEA material and candidates' work Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations for non-examination assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body
Candidate does not sign their authentication statement/declaration	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment
Subject teacher not available to sign authentication forms	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's cover sheet that is attached to their worked submitted for formal assessment	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment
Candidates work between formal supervised sessions is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Regular monitoring/internal audit ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required
Candidates work produced electronically is not securely stored	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments Internal processes and regular monitoring/internal audit by IT Manager ensures: • access to this material is restricted (insert how)
	 appropriate security safeguards are in place (insert names/types of protection)
	 an effective back-up strategy is employed so that an up to date archive of candidates' evidence is maintained (insert details of how work is backed up)
	 any sensitive digital media is encrypted (according to awarding body guidance to ensure that the method of encryption is suitable) to ensure the security of the data stored within it (insert relevant details of how)
A candidate is absent	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment
on the day of the	arrangements can be made for the candidate

Issue/Risk	Contro actions to manage issue/mitigate risk
	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk
examiner visit for an	If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted
acceptable reason A candidate is absent	to the awarding body where appropriate The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register
on the day of the	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register
examiner visit for an	
unacceptable reason	
A candidate submits	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent
little or no work	when marks are submitted to the awarding body
maio or no work	Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against
	the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work
	does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to
	the awarding body
A candidate is unable	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special
to finish their work for	consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to
unforeseen reason	be followed for shortfall in work
The work of a	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication Instructions for
candidate is lost or	conducting non-examination assessments (section 8), to determine eligibility
damaged	and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work
Candidate malpractice	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for
is discovered	conducting non-examination assessments (section 9 Malpractice) are
	followed
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication
	Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed
A teacher assesses	A possible conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body
the work of a	before the published deadline for entries for each examination series
candidate with whom	Marked work of said candidate is submitted for moderation whether part of
they have a close	the sample requested or not
personal relationship	
e.g. members of their	
family (which includes	
step-family, foster	
family and similar	
close relationships) or	
close friends and their	
immediate family (e.g.	
son/daughter)	Avanding body is soutseted to determine if an extension can be greated
An extension to the deadline for	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted
submission of marks is	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (section 5), to determine eligibility and the process to
required for a	be followed for non-examination assessment extension
legitimate reason	23 13.13.13.14 TOT TOTAL SAGITIFICATION GOODS THORID ON CONSISTEN
After submission of	Awarding body is contacted for guidance
marks, it is discovered	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special
that the wrong task	consideration process (section 2), to determine eligibility and the process to
was given to	be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates
candidates	
A candidate wishes to	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded for their work
appeal/request a	prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body
review of the marks	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks
awarded for their work	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the
by their teacher	awarding body's moderation process
	Candidates are informed of their marks to the timescale identified in the
	centre's internal appeals procedure and prior to the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks
	The examp officer for the submission of marks

Issue/Risk	Centre actions to manage issue/mitigate risk
	Through the candidate exam handbook, candidates are made aware of the centre's internal appeals procedures and timescale for submitting an appeal/request for a review of the centre's marking prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body
Deadline for submitting work for formal assessment not met by candidate	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate
Deadline for submitting marks and samples of candidates work ignored by subject teacher Subject teacher long term absence during	Internal/external deadlines are published at the start of each academic year Reminders are issued through senior leaders/subject heads as deadlines approach Records confirm deadlines known and understood by subject teachers Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed See centre's Exam Contingency Plan
the marking period	